



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/491,991	991 01/26/2000 Dean Cheng		081862.P167	9322	
7590 12/19/2003 Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP 12400 Wi8lshire Boulevard 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025			EXAMINER		
			TODD, GREGORY G		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2157	Q _f	
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			PYZEL			
Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/491,991	CHENG ET AL.			
	, acrossy , touch	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gregory G Todd	2157			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 21 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 21 November 2003. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
	NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5.🖂	∑ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
	Claim(s) allowed:					
	Claim(s) objected to:					
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-51</u> .					
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9.						
_	10. Other:					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue Fukata does not disclose broadcasting a congestion status to at least one other, different node. Applicants arguments are not persuasive. The claims clearly recite determining the congestion status associated with a node and broadcasting of the status to an other node (ie. different node than the node associated as being congested). As previously cited, Fukata discloses a packet destined for a packet terminal equipment node 50b with congestion occurring at a packet switch (ie. 60{c}), and thus congestion associated with the switch 60, returning congestion notice to a different node, packet terminal equipment 50a (at least Fig.13, 26; col. 16, lines 21-40; col. 4, lines 55-62; col. 7, lines 39-47). The fact the source node is receiving the congestion status is not relevant as the claims disclose any other node receiving the status of the congested node.

Applicants amendments do not change the scope of the invention as the amended claims disclose limitations in at least claim 1; the original rejections are not changed by applicants amendments and stand as previously rejected.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100